App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 39-26

Previous References

3-1. 2-23-1 2
130 5 1 8
9-22 1 28

Serial Nos. 1–8

Later References

May 114-35-2

WW 230 —
11.x.39

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for July 1927

SUBJECT

Correspondence regarding the alienation of cultivable properties from megalithic sites, Kelagiriya multi-st. Sudukuchchalli, B. city.

Filed

[G. R. S. 11] 1926.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inzzai)

NOTES.

File No. 39-26

FILE HEADING.

Inzgala and Kelagina matts at Sunkenahalli, Bangalore city.

From

Kappanna, Manager,

No. Petition Dated Received 31.7.26

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
162 (a)			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complaints against the alienation of certain properties from the said institution etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The petition was presented in person to the Dewan. Dewan's order:— Call for report from Dy. Comr in a fortnight.

D/S. Secretary: May the Dy Comr be asked to submit

AV 3/8/1926.

(The seal files are being traced & will be put up.)

NOTES—First Sheet.

R.S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 39 of 1926.

Serial No.

through the report through the Mag Concr. within the specified limit of a fortnight's time? Yes.

3/8) Issue at once, (as revised)

2 To. D.C. Bangalore
No 207 dt 3-8-26

forwards the above for report within a fortnight
Thro' the Mag Concr.
No 208 dt 3-8-26
[illegible]

3. From, the Muz. Comr.
Letter no. C. 179 dt 28-8-1926.
Submits The report called for in Sl. 2.

4) The Dy C.'s letter explains The facts of the case fully.
Kappanna's petition presented to The Diwan relates to the following matters:—
(1) Restoration of S. no. 20/2, 21/2, and 35/3 of Kothanur village to have been enclosed

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(ii) Payment

R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 39 of 1926

Serial No.

awarded - in the acquisition of S. no. 53 of revenue village required for Bhimpur extension. viz

(3) Abstract of value of [illegible] land for use of [illegible] inclosure of [illegible] mosque.

b) [illegible] that it is [illegible] that the [illegible] Engg. Dept. will be required, under the Land Acquisition Act 1894. If so are they selected. If the dispute relating to the [illegible] the Court, and for [illegible] Court is disposed of -

c) [illegible] and [illegible] including [illegible] can be taken [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 6561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

and that the Grant of Lands of land out of S. No. 68 of Dr. Jafna Village, & S. Nadar in exchange for S. No. 53 of Gurunagar Village required for Jail purposes be sanctioned. The proposed cost being required from the Compensation amount. (vide G.C. No. 2941-4 & 4.6.23)

b) As regards head No. 5, it is reported that the Immovable property acquired by the City Municipality, and the sale proceeds thereof by it have been credited to the Loan Funds.

c) It is reported that the disposal of Lands in Pettah is still pending, and the Govt. is not entitled to any portion thereof.

Submitted for orders.

Sd/ [illegible] 10 Refer:- Dewan's Sd/ 7/6/1926 orders on the petition (760): (Para 1 above).

11 Rev. Secy.) 7/9

12

Reference is invited to the Petn dated presented to the Dewan on 3.6.26. The D.C's report called for has been received & in regard to the Petrs prayer for the restoration

Flag O

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 2, 4 of 192

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
13 AUG. 1926
Reg. No. 1940

of certain Inam lands ~~~~ alleged to have been wrongfully alienated it is seen from the Dis report that the matter had once before come up to Govt and was sanctioned in connexion with Rs's order refusing to cancel the alienation which is reported to have been made many years ago. The subject matter is now seen to be under litigation in the civil court.

13 The question of giving suitable lands in exchange for an Inam land acquired from the Institution, which is one of the prayers of the petn, requires speeding up. The compensation for the lands acquired is deposited in the Savings Bank & now amounts to Rs 1777-13-7 and lands in exchange have also been selected The m.c maybe requested to have this matter completed early. No further action seems called for

14
Dewan)

Gpo
12.9.26.
13/9

15 m
13.9.26
draft
GPS 12.9.26
14/9

D/m. Financial; for approval.
13/9/26. 17 Rev. Sec'y) R
14/9

18 a/m. Issue
GPS
14/9

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. 39 of 1926-

Serial No.	
4	To, Mer Coorg No 5144/9 17.9.26 Calls for report on the disposal of the question of the grant of suitable lands in exchange for the lands required for the [illegible]
5.	From, Mer Mez. Coorg Letter no. C. 179 dy 3.3.27 Submits a copy of Bangalore Dy. Com's. letter reporting that exchange land has been given on 4.7.26 last, and that there appears to be no complaint now.
W	Dy Submr. for perusal with ref. to Devaraj's orders in paras 13 & 15 of the Note.
	By 10.3.27 HK 10.3.27 Please make a several statement of the requests made in the two petns and note against each what the present position is. The second petition (7+9) contains some requests not contained in the first one Early 21 MW 4/3
21	A statement is submitted as directed. The II petition (9) contains some points not relating to this Br.
Cw pleasebring MW 18/4	Submitted AK 5.4.27.

NOTE—Contin Sheet

G.R.S. III 21

File No. of 19

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 39 of 1926

Serial No 24

Dft. submtd. as directed

AV 12.4.27

25/Issue

12/4

6 To,

Mun Comm. No 2022 dt 16.4.27 calls for further

GRS

info in the matter.

7 From, the Mun. Comr.,

Letter no. C. 179 dt 4.7.27.

Submits the further report called for in the above.

25(a) In Sl. 6, the M.C. was asked to report whether any action was proposed to be taken regarding (1) the unauthorized removal of the hedge ~~fence~~ fencing the Kelaguia mati by chamarros, and purchase of a service man land by Chamarros, and the construction of a building on it.

26. From the Dy. Comr's report dt 27.6.1927 received with the M.C's letter, it is seen that chamarros never removed the hedge surrounding the mati unauthorizedly, but it was cut in compliance with a ~~[illegible]~~ order

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 39 of 19 7.

Serial No

by the Municipality, that the land built upon by Channamma is not a Service inam land but one belonging to Sanbog Venkatachaliah, and that the allegations of kappana are the outcome of the "zid" between himself and Channamma; The D. C. adds that no further action is necessary in the matter Submit.

12.7.1927.

12/7/27

2. Submitted with reference to paras 12 to 15 One matter was then believed to be pending— the grant of exchange land - This is reported to have been disposed of in July last. An abstract was made to see if there were any further points requiring attention. and a further report was called for on two matters. The D. C's present report deals with these there seems to be no need for any further action in this case. The papers may be filed after perusal. MS 14/7

Second Member) 28 Yes ames 18/7 14/7

MW 18/7.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF COUNCIL
14 JULY 1927
No. 323

807 Contn. sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2| 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 39 of 1926

Serial No.	
29	Appl. rehg. records, is submitted for appl. 18.7.27. 18.7.27 30. Done 18
8	To. Revenue Commrs. No 152 dt 22.7.27. Returns the Revenue Records of the case

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet



Petn. No. 313
2.8.26

Muz.
D. No. 162 (a)

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mcy

File No. 39-26

Serial No. 2

No. 207 Rev. Sec.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dist.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petition no. 162(a) from Kappanna, Manager of Hegala and Kelagina Matts at Sunkena halli, Bangalore city, complaining of the alienation of certain properties from the said institution, and to request ~~that~~ you will be good enough to hold a detailed report in a fortnight on all the

over

Date of issue 3-8-26

Signed by Mr Narayana Iyengar Naidu.

(To be returned with report).

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 01265—GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

Contained in the petition.

I have the

honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient

humble servant

[illegible]

Secretary.

No. 208 of 1896.

Copy to the Insp.

Can be sent inform.

B. O.

[illegible]

Secretary.

No. M.C.179826,27 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

enclosure one/one bigfile

Dated Bangalore, 27th August 1926.

From

SEC. SECRETARIAT
30AUG1926
MUZRAI

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L., 8306

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
PR
28. AUG. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to your Memo No.208 dated 3rd August 1926 ---- forwarding copy of letter No.207/Muz. dated 3rd August 1926 --- addressed to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District calling for report with records on the petition of Kappanna Manager, - Megala and Melagala Matts at Sunkenahalli, Bangalore Taluk ---- complaining of the alienation of certain properties from the -- said institutions, I have the honor to submit herewith the ---- files of vernacular records ending with the Bangalore Sub Division Officer's Yadi No.C.2-379 of 26-27 dated 21st August 1926 and also the R and D disposal files received with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.3-419 of 26-27 dated 25th August 1926 --- which is herewith forwarded in original. The Deputy Commissioner's letter explains in full the circumstances of the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

C-3-419/26-27.
En 6 files & 1 petn

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore the 25th August 1926.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore. 1790/26-27

Through
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.207 Muz./dated 3rd August 26 and subsequent reminder thereon and in continuation of this office D.O.No.C-3-419/26-27 dated 19th August 1926, calling for report with records on the petition of Kappanna, Manager, Megala and Kelagala matts at Sunkenahalli, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that the petition relates to the following matters:-

(i) Restoration of S.Nos.20/3,28/2,30/3 and 35/3 of Kothanur village said to have been endowed to the matt.

(ii) Payment of compensation amount awarded for the acquisition of S.No.53 of Yediyur Nagasandra village acquired for Basavangudi extension.

(iii) Adjustment of value of a tamarind tree that was in the compound of the said matt.

2. As regards the resumption of lands, I am to state that according to quit-rent register, the Jangam Mutt at Sunkenahalli in the Bangalore Taluk is a muzrai institution. This mutt is known by other names also as noted in the margin.

Melina matt
Keligana matt
Gurusidda Devara Matt.

3. On the complaint of Kappanna that S.Nos. 20/3,28/2,30/3 and 35/3 of Kothanur village are the properties of the matt and that they have been wrongfully alienated to others, the then Amildar held an enquiry and recommended their restoration to the matt. An order was issued from this office on 25th May 1921 to resume and restore the lands to the matt under

the Chief Commissioner's circular order of 1877; but on the complaint of Channamma and others, the persons in actual enjoyment of the said lands it was found that these were not inam lands endowed to the matt and that they could not be consequently resumed under the above said notification. The order of resumption was accordingly cancelled in this office order dated 21st May 1924. Against this order Kappanna filed an appeal before the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore who in his order No.A.P. 10/25-26 dated 20th August 1925 dismissed the appeal on the ground that since the alienation was alleged to have taken place long ago and as no application was made to the authorities in time action could not be taken under section 10 of the Muzrai Regulation. Against this order, the petitioner filed an appeal before Government, who in their order No.1503 Muz./130-25-8 dated 25th May 1926 rejected the appeal. It is apparently for a revision of this order that the present application is made.

4. In the meanwhile a suit (O.S.No.621/23-24) was filed by Channamma and others against the Deputy Commissioner and the Manager of the matt (the petitioner) praying for a declaration of their title to these properties. As the order was subsequently modified for reasons stated above the suit against the Deputy Commissioner was dismissed and the same against the petitioner is still pending in the 1st Munsiff's Court. As the matter is pending before the court it does not appear to me that any further action can be taken till the suit is disposed of.

5. As regards the second point, I am to state that as the inam land S.No.53 measuring 5 acres 1 gunta of Yediyur Nagasandra village in the Bangalore Taluk endowed to the matt was acquired for Basavangudi extension in 1899, Government have sanctioned in their order No.2941-4/ Mus.F.9-22-8 dated 4th June 1923 6 acres of land as inam in exchange out of S.No.68 of Doddatogur village, besides the grant of 16 acres of land on an upset price of Rs.60/- per acre out of the same S.No. as applied for.

6. A sum of Rs.653-4-0 was awarded as compensation for the acquisition of S.No.53 and the amount has been deposited in the Government Savings Bank and with interest accrued thereon the deposit amount has come to Rs.1777-13-7 as reported by the Amildar. Out of this amount, the proportionate cost of land measuring 6 acres granted as inam at Rs.60/- per acre that is, Rs. ~~60/-~~ 360/- should be adjusted besides the

cost of 16 acres of land sanctioned on an upset price at the same rate.

7. As regards the third point, I am to state that the tamarind tree that stood in the compound of the said matt was got cut and sold at the request of the City Municipality as the tree was reported to have been an obstruction for the formation of municipal drainage and the sale proceeds of Rs.19/- has been credited to muzrai funds.

8. It is further reported by the Amildar that the disposal of lands in Pillagonahalli is still pending and that the petitioner is not entitled to any preferential treatment.

9. The delay in submitting the records is due to the non-receipt of vernacular records from the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk in time.

10. The entire file of vernacular records ending with Bangalore Sub-Division officer's yadi No.C-2-379/26-27 dated 21st August 1926 is submitted herewith together with this office R and D disposal files noted on the margin in this connection for reference and kind return.

1. R.Dis.247/23-24.
2. D 1 Dis.205/23-24
3. R.Dis.44/25-26
4. R.Dis.45/25-26 and
5. C-3-R-79/26.

The petition received with your letter under reply is also resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G.M. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
SEP 1926
MUZRAI

Dewan's order
Report-
at once.
Previous papers in the secretarial
file
Call for report at once.
Kindly put up with the petitioner above named respectfully begs to state as follows

Before the Dewar Saheb to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Miscellaneous Petition no. of 1926-27.

Petitioner:- Kapparna, Agent and Manager of

1. There are from a very long time, near Sunkenahally, 8th Division, Bangalore, two Lingayet Mutts called the Megala (Upper) Mutt and the Kelagala (Lower) Mutt. Both the Mutts are Muzrai Institutions. These Mutts were also popularly known as Gurusiddadevara Mutts, after the name of one of its Swamies.

The connected file is submitted. Dewan's order is submitted for appl. may be placed in the file.

2 These Mutts owned large landed properties, but after the death of the aforesaid Gurusiddadevaru the affairs of the Mutt fell into weak and incapable hands and some of the tenants who were cultivating the Mutt lands refused to recognise the authority of the

This may be placed in the file, now

the Mutts' Agents and most of the lands had - been alienated.

3. Besides some of the Inam lands - belonging to the Mutt near Dodda Togur and also near Sunkenahally were acquired by the Government for Basavangudi and Gavipuram Extensions and the money was in deposit with the Government.

4. That after the petitioner assumed charge as Agent of the Mutt, he began to set - right the affairs of the Mutt. As the compensation amount was in deposit in Government, he applied to the Government for grant of a - few lands in lieu of the Inam lands acquired. The Government directed him to select some - suitable lands. The petitioner accordingly - selected Survey Nos. 67, 68 in Dodda Togur Village and Survey No. 2 in Pillaganahally - Village in Bangalore Taluk, and prayed that - these lands might be granted to the Mutt and their value adjusted out of the compensation - amount in Deposit in the Government Savings Bank.

5. The Governmer

to grant 6 acres as Inam in Survey No. 68 in - Dodda Togur village and some 14 acres of land in the same Survey Number were sold to the petitioner under Darkhast Rules. But though the grant was made in the year 1923 the necessary adjustment has not been made and the lands have

been lying fallow and the Mutts are sustaining loss.

6. That while the petitioner was - searching for suitable lands for the Mutt, he discovered that four of the lands belonging to the Mutt, namely Survey Nos. 20/3, 28/2, 30/3 and 35/3 standing in the Khata of Gurusiddadevaru were in the occupancy of one - Chennamma of Sunkenahally and Kullappa and - Hanumanthappa of Vaddarapalya and Chikka - Thimmiah of Kembathihalli respectively. And as they were not able to give a satisfactory account as to how they came to be in possession of these lands, the petitioner made an application to the then Amildar praying that an enquiry might be held and that in case the lands were discovered to have belonged to the Mutt, the same might be resumed and that in - case that they did not belong to the Mutt, the same might be acquired for the Mutt out of - the compensation amount. The then Amildar - after enquiry found that the lands belonged to the Mutt and that the alienations in favour of the aforesaid Chennamma and others were unauthorised and reported the matter to the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. M. A. Narayana Iyengar, who on being satisfied that the lands were Mutt lands, directed that they should be resumed. - But before the order could be executed, the present Deputy Commissioner set aside the said - order and said that he had no jurisdiction in the matter and the petitioner appealed to the - Government and the Muzrai Commissioner without any redress. In the meanwhile, the said Chen-

namma

ventured to approach Your Honour with this his humble petition, praying that at least now - that JUSTICE would be virdicated.

WHEREFORE, the petitioner respectfully prays that Your Honour would kindly call for the various records connected with the - aforesaid matters and on enquiry, pass such - orders as may be deemed just and proper under the circumstances of the Case.

For this act of JUSTICE and MERCY the petitioner as in duty bound, shall for - ever remain grateful.

Bangalore,

~~20th August 1926.~~ 4 9. 1926

PETITIONER.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Misc
File No. S 1 - 26.
Seria No. 4
No. S 16 [illegible]

To The Assistant Commissioner

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. C. 179 dt 28-8-1926 reporting on the petition of Kappanna, Manager of Hegala and Kelagala Watts at Sunkenahalli, Bangalore Taluq complaining of the alienation of certain properties from the said Watts, I am directed to observe that the question of giving suitable lands in exchange for ancient land acquired for

over

Chennamma and others filed a Civil Suit O. S No. 621/23-24 before the First Munsiff of Bangalore, praying for a declaration that they were the rightful owners of the said lands and that they did not belong to the Mutt. The said case is still pending disposal.

7. The aforesaid Chennamma being aggrieved by the Petitioner's applications, began to cherish ill-will against him and with a view to cause loss and damage to the petitioner, cut and removed an ancient hedge surrounding the Lower Mutt. But the petitioner's applications to the Municipality and to the District Magistrate, against this unauthorised and high-handed act, have not brought any redress to the petitioner.

8. The said Chennamma has purchased a Service Inam Land from Shanbhogee Venkatachala Iya, and she and another Gnanadas have now been constructing a building in the said land. This was duly brought to the notice of the authorities, but no action has yet been taken in the matter.

9. Besides, the Municipality cut and removed an ancient Tamarind Tree belonging to the Mutt, on the pretext of enlarging the road and for putting up a drain. But the petitioner's protests and applications against this unauthorised damage to the Mutt property has not been enquired into.

One other piece of land belonging

to the petitioner in Kothanur were trespassed upon by Yerrappa and others and though the - Bangalore Sub-Division Officer held an enquiry under Section 145 of the Criminal Procedure - Code and directed that I was in possession of the lands, still the produce that was attached and left in the custody of one Patel Munisam- appa has not yet been directed to be handed - over to the petitioner though it is more than 6 months since the disposal of the case.

11. The petitioner is an old man and has spent all that he had on the uplift and - improvement of the aforesaid Mutts. Though - the matter of the resumption of the aforesaid Survey Numbers 20/3, 28/2, 30/3 and 35/3 of Dodda Togur village is pending before the Government for over 6 years, still the lands - have not been restored to the Mutts. Even in the various other matters for which the petitioner has made applications, he has been - peculiarly unfortunate that nothing so far has ended in any final disposal. In the meanwhile, his opponents have been harassing him in various ways and the properties of the Mutt are subject to considerable loss and danger. - The various applications which the petitioner filed before the Amildar, the Sub-Division - Officer, the Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner and the Government have not brought him any redress; and therefore, firmly believing in the just and large-hearted sympathy of your Honour in the cause of such charitable and religious institutions, the petitioner has

ventured

The matter which is one of
the prayers of the petn. maybe disposed of very
~~requires speeding up~~ and to and a report sent to
request that you will be
so good as to have this
matter completed ~~completed~~ fully. (and report
(2) The records are returned.

I have etc.

(Subject approva

Dy Reg Secretary.
Dec. 1879

179886-27
enclose

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 25th March *192*

FROM

P. G. [illegible], [illegible], B.A. B.[illegible],
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
MUZRAI
1257.

To

[illegible]

Muez. 39-28-5

SIR,

[illegible]

Letter No.C.3-419/36-37 dated 14th February 1937 from A. D., Inam Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Mutsuddi Commissioner in Inam.

With reference to your letter No.C.179/36-37 dated 24th September 1936 re: the disposal of the question of grant of lands in exchange for the Inam lands of the Magala and Kelagina matha at Sankenahalli, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that as the inam land survey No.53 measuring 5 acres and 1 gunta of Yadiyur Nagasandra village in the Bangalore Taluk endored to the matt was acquired for Basavanguli extension in 1930, Government have sanctioned in their order No.9941-4/Mum.0.28-8 dated 4th June 1936, 6 acres of land out of comal survey No.68 of Dodla Togur village as inam in exchange and saguvali chit thereof has been granted on 4th July 1936 to the petitioner Kappanna said to be the manager of the matt in question. The upset price of these lands at Rs.60/- per acre viz. 360-10-0 including the local and education/ cesses has been ordered to be adjusted out of Rs.1777-13-7 reported to be available in the savings Bank account of the Institution. (Vide this Office Order No. [illegible]

P.[illegible] dated 30th June 1935.)

This fact was brought to your notice in this office C.3-419-33-37 dated 25th August 1933 and there appears to be [illegible] by the petitioner now on the subject.

Copy

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

Section Muz.
File No. 39/1926
Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 1257.

No. 2022

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Muz)
the 192

To The Inuz Comr.

Date of issue 16-1-27

Signed by Mr M Venkatesa Iyengar

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence ending with 25th Dec. letter no. C-179 dt 3rd March 1926 Reporting on the petition of Kappanna, manager of Megala and Kelagina matts at Sunkena halli, Bangalore Taluk Re the properties alleged to have been alienated from the inams, I am directed to request to be furnished with information regarding enquiry whether any action is

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

~~Reply~~ to be taken regarding
(1) the unauthorized removal
of the hedge surrounding
the Kelagina matt by Channamma
and (2) and purchase of a Service
inam land by channamma
and the construction of a
building on it (Refd. to in
paras 1 and 8 of the petition
No. 334/4-9-1926 forwarded herewith (F.)
for reference, ~~together with~~
Petition no. 162(2), F. (a copy sent for return with this reply)
(2) the favour of a very
early reply is requested.

I have etc.

[illegible]
Dist. Secy

12.4.27

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Petition Dy 30-7-1926 — Request (1)

(1) Restoration of S. nos $\frac{20}{3}$, $\frac{28}{2}$, $\frac{30}{3}$ and $\frac{35}{3}$ of Kothanur Village said to have been endowed to the math.

Present Situation. (1)

It is reported that a cd was found that these were not math inam land, they could not be resumed under the Ch Comr's Notification of 1874, and that the dispute relating to the title to these properties is pending before Civil Courts and that no further action could be taken pending disposal of the Suit. — (Vide Dr's Letter Dy 25-8-1926 ?)

2) Payment of Compensation.

amount awarded for the acquisition of S. no. 53 of Yedigur, Nagasandra Village, acquired for Basavangudi extension

It is reported that a Sum of Rs 1777—13-7 (including accumulated interest) being Compensation amount is now available in the Govt Savings Bank and that the grant of 6 acres of land out of S. no. 68 of Doddi Jogur Village as inam in exchange for S no. 53 of Yedigur Village acquired for Govt purposes, has been

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I. | II.

Sanctioned, the proportionate cost being adjusted from Compensation amount.

(3) Adjustment of the value of a tamarind tree that was in the Compound of the said mall.

It is reported that the tree was acquired by the City municipality, and the sale proceeds thereof viz 10/- have been credited to municipal funds.

(4) Removal of the ancient hedge surrounding the Kelagina mall by one Channamma, but no redress to the petn.

(no reply to this)

Petition dt 4.9.26 from Pl

(5) Purchase of a Sarvice inam Land by Channamma and the construction of a building on it - (this appears to have nothing to with the matter). vide Para 8 of the petition dt 4.9.26. This is a matter to be dealt with in Rev. Br.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(6) Trespass by Veerappa and others on a piece of land belonging to the petn in Kothanur., and non-delivery of produce to the petn (Para 10 of the petition).

~~(7)~~ This is perhaps a matter to be dealt with in Proc. Bd.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not ded for permanent record.]

I

...ed, the proportion ...ing deducted from ... ...tion amount.

(3) Adjustment of the value of a tamarind tree that was in the Compound of the said mate.

... is reported that the ... species by the ... policy, and the ... ds three viz ... ... credits to ... ...

(4) Removal of the ancient hedge surrounding the Kelaguna mate by one Channamma, but no ... redress to the people.

Petition dt 4.9.26.

(5) Purchase of a Service site lands by Channamma a... the Construction of a building a... it - (this appears to have nothing to with the matt... vide Para 8 of the Sur... dt 4.9.26. This is a ...

NB —Should routine notes be writte... the notes must be copied, this ... to be S...

C 179/26-27
Orld one file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,...dated 4th July .....1927.

FROM

A.K. Syed Taj Peeran Esq., B.A.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
JUL 192
MUZRAI

23.
Muz. 39-26-7

Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., P.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

273
6-7-27

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2022/Muz.39-26-6 dated 16th requesting further information on the petition of Kappanna Manager of Megala and Kelagana Maths at Sunkenahally, Pangalore Taluk regarding the properties alleged to have been alienated from the institutions, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter No. C.3-419 of 26-27 from the Deputy Commissioner, Pangalore District, furnishing the required information on the two points noted in your letter and stating that for reasons mentioned, no further action seems to be necessary and that the question may be dropped.

The file of papers received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under reference is herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Copy of letter No.C.3-419/26-27 dated 27th June 1927, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.C.1799/26-27 dated 22nd April 1927 forwarding for report copy of Financial Secretary's letter No. 2022/Muz.39-26-6 dated 16th idem regarding the disposal of the question of grant of lands in exchange for the Inam lands of the Megala and Kelagala Mutts at Sunkenahalli, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk reports that Chennamma never removed the hedge surrounding the Matt in question unauthorisedly but it was cut in ~~epliance~~ Compliance with a notice issued by the Municipality and not with a view to cause loss to the Mutt, that the portion of the land which was constructed upon by one Channamma is not the service Inam land but one belonging to Shanbhoge Venkatachaliah from whom Channamma purchased the same and that the allegations of Kappanna are the outcome of the 'Zid' between himself and Channamma. The plan and the villagers' manazar clearly support the report of the Amildar.

Under the circumstances reported by the Amildar, no further action seems to be necessary in the matter and the question may be kindly dropped.

The file of papers ending with the Bangalore Taluk Amildar's report No.H.C.1614/26-27 dated 3rd June 1927 is herewith submitted for reference and return.

"C o p y."

For Muzrai Commissioner.

JECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inezgai

File No. 39

Serial No. 1926

No. 152

one file

To

.....The Inez Cmer.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the vern. record rec^d with your letter no. C. 179/26 dt 4-7-1927 relating to Inegala and Kolagana at Sunkenahalli, B Taluk.

I have etc.

for Dy Fin Secy.

Dy (2-7-27)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....23.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....22-7-27.....

Signed by.....M M Venkatesa Iyengar.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25